

LEGAL ASPECTS OF THE EXOTIC PET TRADE

Camille Labchuk, Barrister & Solicitor camille@animaljustice.ca

OUTLINE



- Canadian laws applicable to the trade in exotic animals
 - International
 - Federal
 - Provincial
 - Municipal
- Conclusion: Existing law largely fails to protect exotic animals

INTERNATIONAL LAW



- Convention on the International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES)
 - Aims to ensure international trade in wild animals & plants does not threaten their survival
- Protects at-risk animals
- Import/export permits required for CITES-listed species - allowed where use is non-commercial, use will not threaten species survival
- Welfare of individual animals is not a consideration



- Wild Animal and Plant Protection and Regulation of International and Interprovincial Trade Act (WAPPRIITA)
 - Implements CITES, creates import / export permit system for at-risk species, including exotic pets
 - Common examples include most parrots; many lizards, snakes and turtles; hybrid cats, some fishes
 - Does not specify conditions an animal must be kept in once it enters Canada
 - Captive-bred animals are easier to import

- Species at Risk Act (SARA)
 - Protects (some) Canadian wildlife species that are endangered or threatened
 - Prohibits the capture of wild animals from protected species
 - Captive-bred animals are largely exempt



- Health of Animals Regulations
 - CFIA requires permits for the import of some animals into Canada
 - Reptiles & amphibians don't need permits - only turtles and tortoises
 - Birds often require permits
 - No primates (unless zoo, research)
 Customs & border patrol
 - Only a fraction of shipments are inspected



Criminal Code

- s. 445.1: prohibition against causing "unnecessary pain suffering, or injury" to an animal
- s. 446: prohibition against abandoning a captive animal "in distress", or "wilfully neglect" or fail to provide suitable and adequate food, water, shelter and care

Problems

- Remedial, not preventative
- Not meaningfully updated since 1950s
- Weakness may make prosecution difficult
- Enforcement

PROVINCIAL LAW - NEW BRUNSWICK

- Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, RSNB 2014, c 132
 - No prohibition on causing or permitting an animal to be in distress
- General Regulation, NB Reg 2000-4 comply with (vague) standards of care
 - "adequate" source of food and water, "reasonable" protection from injurious heat or cold
 - adequate medical attention for wounded or ill animal
 - prohibition against confining an animal in a way that could threaten health of well-being due to: inadequate space, unsanitary conditions, inadequate ventilation, another animal, lack of opportunity to exercise, or state of disrepair

PROVINCIAL LAW - NEW BRUNSWICK

- Possessing exotic animals not allowed without obtaining a permit
 - Can own and trade animals on exempt list in Exotic Wildlife Regulation, NB
 Reg 92-74
- Pet stores, shelters, and sanctuaries require a license
 - Must keep records, including for acquisition and sale of exotic animals
 - Must comply with animal welfare standards listed in Pet Establishment Regulation, NB Reg 2010-74
- Public Health Act allows officials to seize animals that pose a health hazard

PROVINCIAL LAW – PROBLEMS (NEW BRUNSWICK)

- No detailed welfare standards for privately-owned exotic animals
- Difficult to monitor exotic animal possession and enforce relevant laws
 - Complaint-based enforcement problematic when animals kept on private property
 - Need warrant to enter a home, even if an animal is believed need help
 - Lack of veterinary expertise
 - Inherent difficulty of proving animal welfare / cruelty offences
- No rules specific to mobile live animal displays
- Differential treatment for zoos and circuses

PROVINCIAL LAW - ONTARIO

Ontario Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act (OSPCA Act)

- Do not cause or permit an animal to be in distress
- O.Reg 60/09 comply with Standards of Care
 - "adequate" food, shelter, space, care, etc. required

PROVINCIAL LAW — PROBLEMS (ONTARIO)

- Not a cohesive regime to regulate exotic pets No prohibitions No detailed welfare standards
- Remedial, not preventative
- Difficult to monitor exotic animal possession and enforce relevant laws
 - Complaint-based enforcement problematic when animals kept on private property
 - Need warrant to enter a home, even if an animal is believed to be in distress
 - Lack of veterinary expertise
 - Inherent difficulty of proving animal welfare / cruelty offences
- No rules specific to mobile live animal displays

MUNICIPAL LAW (NEW BRUNSWICK & ONTARIO)



- Municipalities may be forced to fill gaps in federal / provincial regulation
- When exotic animal bylaws exist, typically include a list of prohibited species + exemptions
- Registry of exotic animals also possible
- Downsides
 - Patchwork approach
 - Lack of municipal expertise
 - Cost of enforcement
 - Low registry uptake

PROVINCIAL REGULATORY OPTIONS (NEW BRUNSWICK)

- Option 1: Ban keeping & sale of exotic animals
- Option 2: Enhance existing positive list

OPTION 1: BAN EXOTIC ANIMAL TRADE & POSSESSION (NEW BRUNSWICK)

- Ban the keeping and sale of exotic animals
- Benefits
 - Comprehensive
 - Strongest protection for wild animal populations
 - Eliminates inevitable poor welfare outcomes for captive exotic animals
 - Protects public safety
 - Ease of enforcement
 - Eliminates need for local veterinary expertise on exotic animal welfare

OPTION 2: POSITIVE LIST (NEW BRUNSWICK)

- New Brunswick already has a positive list model in place
- Positive lists are growing in popularity
 - More safety-conscious, preventative/precautionary in nature
 - Evidence-based approach to welfare
 - Easiest, clearest approach to regulation
- Negative lists can be cumbersome
 - Continual revisions as exotic pet trade exploits new species

PROVINCIAL REGULATORY OPTIONS (ONTARIO)

- Option 1: Prohibit keeping & sale of exotic animals
- Option 2: Introduce positive list

OPTION 1: END EXOTIC ANIMAL TRADE & POSSESSION (ONTARIO)

- Prohibit the keeping and sale of exotic animals
- Benefits
 - Comprehensive
 - Strongest protection for wild animal populations
 - Eliminates inevitable poor welfare outcomes for captive exotic animals
 - Protects public safety
 - Clarity in enforcement
 - Eliminates need for local veterinary expertise on exotic animal welfare

OPTION 2: POSITIVE LIST (ONTARIO)

New approach growing in popularity: a concise list of permitted animals

- Belgium, Netherlands adopted; other EU countries moving in that direction
- New Brunswick has a positive list model in place:
 - (Exotic Wildlife Reg pet stores, shelters, sanctuaries everyone needs a permit, must comply with welfare standards)
 - More safety-conscious, preventative/precautionary in nature
 - Evidence-based approach to welfare
 - Easiest, clearest approach to regulation
- Negative lists cumbersome, complicated, continually in need of revision

